EnergAt: Fine-Grained Energy Attribution for Multi-Tenancy



- Hongyu Hè, Michal Friedman, Theodoros Rekatsinas HotCarbon '23
 - 9 July 2023



Software Energy

- End of Dennard Scaling
- ⇒ Performance gain comes at energy costs
- Machine learning systems
- ⇒ Huge energy consumers
- Software energy efficiency
 - ⇒ More observability
- Can be a sensitive topic
 - ⇒ Precise energy provenance

20

200

180

160

140

120

100

80

60

40

Vamometers





Hongyu Hè, Michal Friedman, and Theodoros Rekatsinas. "EnergAt: Fine-Grained Energy Attribution for Multi-Tenancy." HotCarbon '23

2

Goal of Fine-Grained Energy Attribution

- Determine the energy of the **target application** and its subtasks (aka. energy provenance)
- **Exclude** the energy used by collocated jobs (aka. "noisy neighbors")





Gaps in Energy Attribution methods

- Many useful tools available
 - E.g., CodeCarbon [Lacoste et al.], Carbontracker [Wolff Anthony et al.], and Experiment Impact Tracker [Henderson et al.]
- Coarse-grained energy attribution

Server	ſ
Program X	
Program r	





A Key Culprit: Coarse hardware support

- Heterogeneous, disaggregated hardware shared in the cloud
- Only device/user-level power estimation





Our Position

Fine-grained software energy attribution is feasible even with **coarse-grained** hardware support!



Contributions

- 1. Thread-level, NUMA-aware method for CPU and DRAM energy attribution in a multi-tenant environment
- validity, effectiveness, and robustness to noisy-neighbor effect
- 2. Evaluation with open-sourced prototype implementation model 3. Opportunities and challenges towards energy-aware clouds



NUMA-Aware Thread-Level Model for Multi-Tenancy

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{\text{static}}^{D} \end{pmatrix}^{s} = (\text{Sample energy value of } D \text{ for } T_{\text{static}}) / T_{\text{static}}. \\ \begin{pmatrix} E_{\text{static}}^{D} \end{pmatrix}^{s} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{\text{static}}^{D} \end{pmatrix}^{s} \cdot T_{\text{sample}}. \\ \begin{pmatrix} E_{\Delta}^{\text{CPU}} \end{pmatrix}^{s} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{\text{total}}^{\text{CPU}} \end{pmatrix}^{s} - \begin{pmatrix} E_{\text{static}}^{\text{CPU}} \end{pmatrix}^{s}. \\ \mathbb{P}^{\text{CPU}}(s \mid a) \approx \left(\int_{t=t'}^{t'+T_{\text{sample}}} \mathbb{1}_{\{a \text{ on } s\}} dt \right) / T_{\text{sample}}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} T_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{CPU}} \end{pmatrix}^{s} = \mathbb{E} \left[T_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{CPU}} \mid s \right] \approx \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}^{\text{CPU}}(s \mid a) \cdot T_{a}^{\text{CPU}}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} T_{\text{total}} \end{pmatrix}^{s} \leftarrow \text{Total CPU time (kernel + user) of } s \\ \begin{pmatrix} C_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{CPU}} \end{pmatrix}^{s} = \left[\left(T_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{CPU}} \right)^{s} / \left(T_{\text{total}}^{\text{CPU}} \right)^{s} \right]^{\gamma}, \end{cases}$$

$$(3) \quad E_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{CPU}} = \sum_{s \in S} \left(E_{\Delta}^{\text{CPU}} \right)^{s} \cdot \left(C_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{CPU}} \right)^{s} + \left(E_{\text{static}}^{\text{CPU}} \right)^{s}.$$

$$(4) \quad (M_{\text{total}})^{s} \leftarrow \text{Total available NUMA memory on } s$$

$$(5) \quad \left(E_{\Delta}^{\text{DRAM}} \right)^{s} = \left(E_{\text{total}}^{\text{DRAM}} \right)^{s} - \left(E_{\text{static}}^{\text{DRAM}} \right)^{s}.$$

$$(6) \quad \mathbb{P}^{\text{DRAM}}(s \mid a) \approx \mathbb{E} \left[\left\{ \left(M_{a}^{\Delta t} \right)^{s} \middle| \left(M_{\text{total}}^{\Delta t} \right)^{s} \right\}^{T_{\text{sample}}} \right],$$

$$(7) \quad (M_{\mathcal{A}})^{s} = \mathbb{E} \left[M_{\mathcal{A}} \mid s \right] \approx \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}^{\text{DRAM}}(s \mid a) \cdot (M_{a})^{s}.$$

$$(8) \quad \left(C_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{DRAM}} \right)^{s} = \left[(M_{\mathcal{A}})^{s} \middle| (M_{\text{total}})^{s} \right]^{\sigma},$$

$$(9) \quad E_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{DRAM}} = \sum_{s \in S} \left(E_{\Delta}^{\text{DRAM}} \right)^{s} \cdot \left(C_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{DRAM}} \right)^{s} + \left(E_{\text{static}}^{\text{DRAM}} \right)^{s}.$$





NUMA-Aware Thread-Level Model for Multi-Tenancy

Our model fits on 1 slide



- 2. Thread-level, NUMA-aware energy attribution
- 3. 'Energy credits' based on exclusive resource usage
- 4. Separate the energy of the attribution model itself







Evaluation: Setup

- <u>Prototype implementation</u>: EnergAt
 - https://github.com/HongyuHe/energat
- <u>Microbenchmarks</u> (target applications):
 - \circ CPU: CPU utilization 0 \rightarrow 100% (equal # of threads and processes)
 - mem: DRAM usage $0 \rightarrow 100\%$ (one process)
 - mix: Both CPU and DRAM at ~50% (using CpU and mem methods)
 - $\circ mix(w/neighbor): 2 mix workloads (target and noisy neighbor)$
- Objectives:
 - Cover all utilization levels
 - Emulate the noisy-neighbor effect

11

Evaluation: Model validation

- <u>Methodology</u>
 - Validation by summation [Shen et al. '13]
- <u>Reference (total)</u>
 - Modified Firefox plugin
- Observations
 - \circ Total value \Rightarrow Reference value
 - Sum of attributed energies + cost = Total
 - mem: underestimation
 - Only private memories are considered



Comparison with Other Tools





Limitations

- 1. Not considering other pertinent factors
 - E.g., shared memory, I/O, and caches
- 2. 'Validation by summation': No insight into individual accounting
- 3. Non-negligible energy overhead: up to 9.5% (when tracing all jobs)
- 4. Evaluation on real workloads



Energy-Aware Heterogeneous Clouds

- Energy-based billing in the cloud
- 1. HW-SW interface for secure and efficient energy reporting 2. Energy attribution for cloud services on heterogeneous devices
- 3. NUMA-aware energy optimization
- 4. Revisit traditional algorithms in terms of energy efficiency



Summary

- <u>Position</u>: Fine-grained SW energy attribution is feasible even with coarse-grained HW support
- <u>Contributions</u>
 - Thread-level, NUMA-aware energy attribution for multi-tenancy

 - Validation of validity, effectiveness, and robustness to noisy-neighbor effect • Opportunities and challenges towards energy-aware clouds
- <u>Code</u>: https://github.com/HongyuHe/energat o sudo pip install energat





Hongyu Hè, Michal Friedman, and Theodoros Rekatsinas. "EnergAt: Fine-Grained Energy Attribution for Multi-Tenancy." HotCarbon '23



Big shout out to Shail David for his help in revising the paper!

Email: honghe@inf.ethz.ch Web: hongyu.nl



